

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,431	HIGAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher L. Lavin	2624	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher L. Lavin.

(2) Douglas Goldhush (Reg. 33,125).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 9 and 16 both claimed a program which is not statutory under 101. The examiner suggested adding "a computer readable medium" to the claims which would overcome 101. The applicant's representative agreed to this change.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 ISHRAT SNEBALI
 PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)